arXiv:gr-qc/0410146v1 28 Oct 2004

Quasi-local rotating black holes in higher dimension: geometry

Jerzy Lewandowski[1,2,3*] and Tomasz Pawlowski[1,2,3†]

[1] *Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej*
Uniwersytet Warszawski,
ul. Hoza 69, 00-681,
Warsaw, Poland
[2] *Center for Gravitational Physics and Geometry,*
Physics Department, Penn State,
University Park, PA 16802, USA
[3] *Max-Planck-Institut fur Gravitationsphysik,*
Albert-Einstein-Institut,
Am Muhlenberg 1,
D-14476 Potsdam, Germany

Abstract

With a help of a generalized Raychaudhuri equation non-expanding null surfaces are studied in arbitrarily dimensional case. The definition and basic properties of non-expanding and isolated horizons known in the literature in the 4 and 3 dimensional cases are generalized. A local description of horizon's geometry is provided. The Zeroth Law of black hole thermodynamics is derived. The constraints have a similar structure to that of the 4 dimensional spacetime case. The geometry of a vacuum isolated horizon is determined by the induced metric and the rotation 1-form potential, local generalizations of the area and the angular momentum typically used in the stationary black hole solutions case.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.70.Bw

*Electronic address: lewand@fuw.edu.pl
†Electronic address: tpawlow@fuw.edu.pl

I. INTRODUCTION

The theory of non-expanding and isolated horizons in 4 dimensional space-time [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] is a quasi local approach to black hole in equilibrium. A horizon is a compact, space-like 2-surface expanding at the speed of light, however, not changing its area element. No symmetry assumptions are made about a spacetime neighborhood surrounding the horizon. In fact, generically there is no Killing vector [6]. The parameters characterizing stationary black hole solutions, like the area and the angular momentum, are replaced by appropriate local fields [4, 7]. Despite of this enormous change in the amount of the degrees of freedom, the Zeroth and the First law of Black Hole Thermodynamics still hold (see also [8]). The goal of the current and a coming paper [9] is a generalization of those results to the higher dimensional case. Whether or not the generalization would be straightforward was a priori not known. In the calculations concerning the 4 and 3 dimensional [10] cases the Newman-Penrose formalism (and its adaptation to the 3 dimensions) was used many times, for example in the proof of the Zeroth Law.

We consider an n dimensional space-time of the signature $(-,+...+)$ and arbitrary $n > 2$. First, we derive a higher dimensional Raychaudhuri equation for a null, geodesic flow. This is an easy generalization of the derivation one can find in [11].

Next, we study non-expanding null surfaces. Our considerations are local, therefore the results may be applied to the surfaces of arbitrary topology. Assuming the usual energy inequalities (classical), we find that the vanishing of the expansion of a null surface implies the vanishing of the shear. In the consequence, the space-time covariant derivative preserves the tangent bundle of each non-expanding null surface, and induces a covariant derivative therein. The induced degenerate metric tensor and the induced covariant derivative (partially independent of each other) constitute the *geometry* of a non-expanding null surface. The geometry is the subject of our study. The induced degenerate metric tensor can be locally identified with a metric tensor defined on the $n-2$ dimensional space of the tangent null curves. We do not find any restrictions on that $n-2$ metric tensor. The rotation of a given non-expanding null surface is described by a differential 2-form invariant derived from the covariant derivative, the *rotation 2-form*. Its properties imply the Zeroth Law upon quite week energy conditions. The remaining components of the surface covariant derivative –briefly speaking, the shear and expansion of a transversal null vector field– are subject to constraint equations which dictate a null evolution along the surface.

The constraint equations become particularly important in the case of a surface admitting a null symmetry, called isolated null surface. Due to them, in the vacuum case, the whole geometry of a given non-extremal null isolated surface is locally characterized by the induced degenerate metric tensor, the rotation 2-form (or even by their pullbacks to a spacelike $n-2$ dimensional subsurface) and the value of the cosmological constant. We also derive the equations constraining the induced metric and the rotation 2-form in the vacuum extremal isolated null surface case.

In the last section, we apply our local results to the non-expanding and isolated horizons, which are defined by assuming the existence of a global, compact space-like cross-section and the product structure.

Our characterization of the geometry is used in a coming paper [9] to introduce a canonical framework for the isolated horizons and to derive the First Law in a way

analogous to the 4 and 3 dimensional cases.

A. Assumptions and notation convention

We are considering a manifold $\mathcal{M}$ of the dimension $n > 2$ (our primary interest is in the case $n > 4$). $\mathcal{M}$ is equipped with a (pseudo) metric tensor field $g_{\alpha\beta}$ of the signature $(-, +...+)$ (one minus and $n-1$ pluses) and the corresponding Levi-Civita connection ∇_α. The corresponding Riemann[1], Weyl, Ricci and Einstein tensors are denoted, respectively, by ${}^{(n)}R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$, ${}^{(n)}C^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$, ${}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}$ and ${}^{(n)}G_{\alpha\beta}$. We often refer to the Einstein equations, which read

$$ {}^{(n)}G_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; -\Lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + T_{\alpha\beta} \;, \tag{1.1} $$

where Λ is a constant called cosmological and $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is the matter energy-momentum tensor.

The following (abstract) index notation will be used in this paper:

(i) Indexes of the spacetime tensors will (and have already been) be denoted by lower Greek letters: $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta....$

(ii) Tensors defined in $n-1$ dimensional null subspaces (tangent to a null surface except Section II) will carry indexes denoted by lower Latin letters: $a, b, c, d....$

(iii) Capital Latin letters $A, B, C, D, ...$ will be used as the indexes of tensors considered in $n-2$ dimensional spaces (the quotient of a null space by the null direction, the space tangent to a spacelike section of a null surface, the space tangent to the manifold of null curves in a null surface).

II. NULL GEODESIC FLOWS, NULL SURFACES

A. Null geodesic flows, generalized Raychaudhuri equation

Consider a null geodesic vector field ℓ, that is a null vector field such that

$$ \nabla_\ell \ell = \kappa^{(\ell)} \ell \;, \tag{2.1} $$

where $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ is an arbitrary function. We are assuming that ℓ is a section of a sub-bundle L of the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$ whose fibers are one dimensional (the assumption is satisfied by every nowhere-vanishing ℓ). It follows from (2.1), that the sub-bundle $L^\perp \subset T\mathcal{M}$ consisting of all the vectors tangent to $\mathcal{M}$ and orthogonal to the fibers of L is preserved by the flow of the vector field ℓ. Therefore, the null flow determines an evolution of tensors defined in the fibers of $L^\perp$. Particularly important will be for us the tensor $q_{ab}(x)$ induced in each fiber $L^\perp_x$ of $L^\perp$ by the restriction of the space-time metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$. The induced tensor is often referred to as the degenerate metric tensor. Indeed, for every point $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $q_{ab}(x)$ is symmetric

[1] We use the following convention: $[\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\beta]X^\gamma = R^\gamma{}_{\delta\alpha\beta}X^\delta$

and being defined in the $n-1$ dimensional fiber $L_x^\perp$, it has the signature $(0,n)$. The evolution of the field q_{ab} defined by the null flow is just

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell q_{ab} \;=\; 2B^{(\ell)}{}_{(ab)} \;, \tag{2.2}$$

where $B^{(\ell)}{}_{(ab)}(x)$ is the restriction of the derivative tensor

$$B^{(\ell)}{}_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; \nabla_\beta \ell_\alpha \;, \tag{2.3}$$

to the vector space $L_x^\perp$, at each $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Note, that

$$\ell^\alpha B^{(\ell)}{}_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; 0 \;, \qquad\qquad \ell^\beta B^{(\ell)}{}_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; \kappa^{(\ell)} \ell_\alpha \;, \tag{2.4}$$

therefore the restriction $B^{(\ell)}{}_{ab}$ is annihilated by ℓ,

$$\ell^a B^{(\ell)}{}_{ab} \;=\; 0 \;, \qquad\qquad \ell^b B^{(\ell)}{}_{ab} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{2.5}$$

The null flow evolution of $B^{(\ell)}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ involves the space-time Riemann tensor $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell B^{(\ell)}{}_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; \kappa^{(\ell)} B^{(\ell)}{}_{\alpha\beta} + \ell_\alpha \kappa^{(\ell)}{}_{,\beta} + B^{(\ell)}{}_{\gamma\alpha} B^{(\ell)\gamma}{}_{\beta} - {}^{(n)}\!R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \ell^\mu \ell^\nu \;. \tag{2.6}$$

To read from this equation the equation of the null flow evolution of q_{ab} it is convenient to consider the quotient bundle $L^\perp/L$, whose fiber at every $x \in \mathcal{M}$, is the quotient vector space $L_x^\perp/L_x$ of the fibers. Given a covariant tensor $\bar{C}_{A\ldots B}$ in $L_x^\perp/L_x$, we denote its pullback to $L_x^\perp$ by $C_{a\ldots b}$; given a vector X^a at x orthogonal to L_x we denote by $\bar{X}^A$ its projection onto $L_x^\perp/L_x$. Examples are the very tensors $q_{ab}(x)$ and $B^{(\ell)}{}_{ab}(x)$, who are in fact pullbacks of tensors defined in $L_x^\perp/L_x$, consequently denoted by $\bar{q}_{AB}(x)$ and, respectively, $\bar{B}^{(\ell)}{}_{AB}(x)$. The first of them, $\bar{q}_{AB}$ is a non-degenerate, positive definite metric tensor in each fiber of $L^\perp/L$. The quotient bundle is also preserved by the null flow. Using (2.2) we can see, that

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \bar{q}_{AB} \;=\; 2\bar{B}^{(\ell)}{}_{(AB)} \;. \tag{2.7}$$

The tensor $\bar{B}^{(\ell)}{}_{AB}$ can be decomposed into three parts:

- The trace with respect to $\bar{q}_{AB}$ (with $\bar{q}^{AB}$ being an inverse of $\bar{q}_{AB}$)

  $$\theta^{(\ell)} \;:=\; \bar{q}^{AB} \bar{B}^{(\ell)}{}_{AB} \;, \tag{2.8}$$

  which is called the expansion scalar,

- the traceless symmetric part:

  $$\sigma^{(\ell)}{}_{AB} \;:=\; \bar{B}^{(\ell)}{}_{AB} - \tfrac{1}{n-2} \theta^{(\ell)} \bar{q}_{AB} \;, \tag{2.9}$$

  called the shear tensor

- and the antisymmetric part $\bar{B}^{(\ell)}{}_{[AB]}$.

Since the transformation law for the tensor ${B^{(\ell)}}_{\alpha\beta}$ upon rescalings $\ell \mapsto \ell' = f\ell$ by a function f is quite simple, namely

$$ {B^{(\ell')}}_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; f{B^{(\ell)}}_{\alpha\beta} + \ell_\alpha D_\beta f \;, \tag{2.10} $$

and in particular

$$ {B^{(\ell')}}_{ab} \;=\; f{B^{(\ell)}}_{ab} \;, \qquad\qquad {\bar{B}^{(\ell')}}_{AB} \;=\; f{\bar{B}^{(\ell)}}_{AB} \;, \tag{2.11} $$

it is often convenient to choose a section ℓ_o of the bundle L, such that

$$ \kappa^{(\ell_o)} \;=\; 0 \tag{2.12} $$

in (2.1). The evolution of the corresponding ${\bar{B}^{(\ell_o)}}_{AB}$ defined by the flow of ℓ_o is

$$ \mathcal{L}_{\ell_o}{\bar{B}^{(\ell_o)}}_{AB} \;=\; \bar{q}^{CD}{\bar{B}^{(\ell_o)}}_{CA}{\bar{B}^{(\ell_o)}}_{DB} - {}^{(n)}\bar{R}_{\alpha A\beta B}\ell_o^\alpha\ell_o^\beta \;. \tag{2.13} $$

In particular,

$$ \mathcal{L}_{\ell_o}\theta^{(\ell_o)} \;=\; -\tfrac{1}{n-2}(\theta^{(\ell_o)})^2 - {\sigma^{(\ell_o)}}_{AB}\sigma^{(\ell_o)AB} + {\bar{B}^{(\ell_o)}}_{[AB]}\bar{B}^{(\ell_o)[AB]} - {\ell_o}^\mu{\ell_o}^\nu\,{}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} \;, \tag{2.14} $$

where ${}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}$ is the spacetime Ricci tensor and the capital indexes are raised with the inverse metric tensor $\bar{q}^{AB}$. Note, that this geometric identity defines the dynamics of the geometry $\bar{q}_{AB}$ if we use the Einstein equations and replace the Ricci tensor by the matter energy-momentum. This is a straightforward generalization of the famous Raychaudhuri equation in 4-dimensional space-time. The essential feature of this equation is still present in this n dimensional case: all the terms on the right hand side except ${\bar{B}^{(\ell_o)}}_{[AB]}\bar{B}^{(\ell_o)[AB]}$ are non-positive, provided the Einstein equations hold and the energy condition

$$ T_{\alpha\beta}\ell^\alpha\ell^\beta \;\geq\; 0 \tag{2.15} $$

is satisfied by the matter. In particular, the non-negativity of ${\sigma^{(\ell)}}_{AB}\sigma^{(\ell)AB}$ follows from the positive definiteness of the metric tensor field $\bar{q}_{AB}$.

We have not exhausted all the information contained in the tensor ${B^{(\ell)}}_{\alpha\beta}$ and in the equation (2.6). We will go back to them in the context of the Zeroth Law of the non-expanding null surface thermodynamics.

B. Null surfaces

An $n-1$ dimensional submanifold $\triangle$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is called a null surface if at every point $x \in \triangle$ the pullback $q_{ab}(x)$ of the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ onto $\triangle$ is degenerate. Denote by L_x the degeneracy subspace $L_x \subset T_x\triangle$. It follows from the algebra of a metric tensor of the signature $(1, n-1)$ that L_x is 1-dimensional at each point x, provided the $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ is non-degenerate. It consists of the null vectors tangent to the surface $\triangle$ at x. The spaces L_x form a sub-bundle $L \subset T\triangle$ referred to through out this paper as *the null direction bundle*. Consider an arbitrary null vector field ℓ^a defined (locally) on $\triangle$, a (local) section of the bundle L. It is geodesic, that is it satisfies (2.1). The function $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ is referred to as the surface gravity corresponding to ℓ.

To apply the definitions and results of Section II A (in particular the Raychaudhuri equation) to the vector field ℓ^a defined on $\triangle$ it is enough to consider at each point $x \in \triangle$ an appropriate local extension of the bundle L and of the vector field ℓ^a to a neighborhood of x in $\mathcal{M}$. Such extension always exists. Obviously, the tensor $B^{(\ell)}{}_{\beta\alpha} = \nabla_\alpha \ell_\beta$ depends on the extension, however, at the surface $\triangle$, the part $B^{(\ell)}{}_{\beta a}$ defined by the restriction of the derivative to the tangent space to $\triangle$ is the extension independent. Moreover, due to (2.4) the tensor $B^{(\ell)\beta}{}_a$ considered as a vector valued 1-form defined on $\triangle$ takes values in the tangent bundle $T\triangle$, therefore it is defined intrinsically on $\triangle$ and can be denoted by $B^{(\ell)b}{}_a$. Another object defined intrinsically on $\triangle$ is $\mathcal{L}_\ell B^{(\ell)\beta}{}_a$. In this way the equations (2.6, 2.13, 2.14) can be applied to every null vector field ℓ^a defined on and tangent to $\triangle$. The equations describe the evolution of the tensors q_{ab} and $B^b{}_a$ along $\triangle$, defined by ℓ^a. The existence of the surface implies that the antisymmetric part of the pullback $B^{(\ell)}{}_{ab}$ vanishes,

$$B^{(\ell)}{}_{[ab]} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{2.16}$$

To see this, owing to (2.11), it suffices to show (2.16) for arbitrary one non-trivial example of ℓ^a. Consider a function r defined in a neighborhood of a point of $\triangle$ in $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$$r|_\triangle \;=\; \text{const} \;, \qquad\qquad \mathrm{d}r|_\triangle \;\neq\; 0 \;. \tag{2.17}$$

Then $\ell_{o\mu} = \nabla_\mu r$ defines a vector field ℓ_o^a tangent to the surface $\triangle$ and null thereon. $B^{(\ell_o)}{}_{ab}$ is the pullback to $\triangle$ of the symmetric space-time tensor $\nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha r$, so it is symmetric itself. In the consequence of (2.16), the Raychaudhuri equation reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell_o}\theta^{(\ell_o)} \;=\; -\tfrac{1}{n-2}(\theta^{(\ell_o)})^2 - \sigma^{(\ell_o)}{}_{AB}\sigma^{(\ell_o)AB} - \ell_o{}^\mu \ell_o{}^\nu \overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} \;, \tag{2.18}$$

in the case of $\ell^a = \ell_o^a$ such that the corresponding surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell_o)}$ vanishes.

III. NON-EXPANDING NULL SURFACES

A. Definition

Suppose, that given a null surface $\triangle$, for every point $x \in \triangle$ the expansion $\theta^{(\ell)}$ of some non-trivial null vector field ℓ^a tangent to $\triangle$ at x vanishes,

$$\theta^{(\ell)} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{3.1}$$

Then, we say that $\triangle$ is non-expanding. This is a property of the surface $\triangle$ only, independent of a choice of ℓ. Indeed, it follows from (2.11), that if at a given point x the expansion $\theta^{(\ell)}$ vanishes, then the same is true for every other section ℓ' of the bundle L.

B. The vanishing of the shear and $\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\ell\ell}$

To learn more about the non-expanding null surface case, consider again a vector field ℓ_o^a, a section of the bundle L, such that

$$\kappa^{(\ell_o)} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{3.2}$$

The vanishing of the left hand side (the expansion $\theta^{(\ell_o)}$) of (2.18) and the vanishing of the antisymmetric part of $\bar{B}^{(\ell_o)}{}_{AB}$ lead to

$$0 \;=\; \sigma^{(\ell_o)}{}_{AB}\sigma^{(\ell_o)AB} \;+\; \ell_o{}^{\mu}\ell_o{}^{\nu}\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} \;. \tag{3.3}$$

The first term on the right hand side is non-negative. We assume the energy inequality (2.15) which makes the second term also non-negative. Hence, all of them necessarily vanish on $\triangle$. Moreover, it follows from the positivity of the metric tensor $\bar{q}_{AB}$ and the symmetry of $\sigma^{(\ell)}{}_{AB}$, that

$$\sigma^{(\ell_o)}{}_{AB}\sigma^{(\ell_o)AB} \;=\; 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \sigma^{(\ell_o)}{}_{AB} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{3.4}$$

In the consequence,

$$B^{(\ell_o)}{}_{ab} \;=\; 0 \;=\; \ell_o{}^{\mu}\ell_o{}^{\nu}\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} \;, \tag{3.5}$$

on $\triangle$.

Since the tensor $\bar{B}^{(\ell)}{}_{ab}$ transforms as presented in (2.11) the final conclusion is true for arbitrary choice of a section ℓ of the bundle L.

Theorem III.1. *Suppose $\triangle$ is a non-expanding, null, $n-1$ dimensional surface contained in a space-time of signature $(1, n-1)$; suppose the Einstein field equation hold on $\triangle$ with a cosmological constant and with the matter fields which satisfy the energy condition* (2.15)*. Then:*

(i) the surface is shear-free, that is for every null vector field ℓ^{α} defined on and tangent to $\triangle$

$$\nabla_a\ell_b \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\nabla_a\ell_b$ is the pullback of the spacetime $\nabla_\alpha\ell_\beta$ to $\triangle$;

(ii) the induced degenerate metric q_{ab} in $\triangle$ is invariant with respect to the flow of every null vector field ℓ^a tangent to $\triangle$,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell q_{ab} \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{3.7}$$

(iii) The space-time Ricci tensor satisfies on $\triangle$ the following condition

$$\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha\beta}\ell^{\alpha}\ell^{\beta} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{3.8}$$

The property (i) above combined with $\ell^a q_{ab} = 0$ means that, locally q_{ab} is the pullback of certain metric tensor field $\hat{q}_{AB}$ defined on an $n-2$-dimensional manifold $\hat{\triangle}'$. The manifold $\hat{\triangle}'$ is the set of the null curves tangent to the null direction bundle L in appropriate neighborhood $\triangle' \subset \triangle$ open in $\triangle$, and the map is the natural projection,

$$\pi : \triangle' \;\to\; \hat{\triangle}' \;, \qquad\qquad q_{ab} \;=\; \pi^*\hat{q}_{AB} \;. \tag{3.9}$$

C. The induced covariant derivative

If the assumptions of Theorem III.1 are satisfied, then for any vector fields X, Y, sections of the tangent bundle $T\triangle$, the covariant derivative $\nabla_X Y$ is again a vector field tangent to $\triangle$. Indeed, it is easy to see that $\nabla_X Y$ is necessarily orthogonal to ℓ,

$$\ell_\mu X^\nu \nabla_\nu Y^\mu \;=\; X^\nu \nabla_\nu \left(\ell_\mu Y^\mu\right) - X^\nu Y^\mu B_{\nu\mu} = 0 \;, \tag{3.10}$$

where the first term vanishes by the definition of ℓ and the second due to Theorem III.1. The induced covariant derivative will be denoted by D_a. For the vector fields X^a, Y^a, sections of the bundle $T\triangle$, the derivative is just

$$D_X Y^a := \nabla_X Y^a \;, \tag{3.11}$$

whereas for a covector W_a, a section of the dual bundle $T^*\triangle$, the derivative $D_X W_a$ is determined by the Leibnitz rule,

$$Y^a D_X W_a \;=\; D_X(Y^a W_a) - (D_X Y^a) W_a \;. \tag{3.12}$$

Obviously, the derivative D_a is torsion free and annihilates the degenerate metric tensor q_{ab},

$$D_a D_b f \;=\; D_b D_a f \;, \qquad\qquad D_a q_{bc} \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{3.13}$$

for every function f.

D. Further conditions on the Riemann tensor necessary at $\triangle$

The conclusions of Theorem (III.1) lead to stronger restrictions on the Riemann tensor at $\triangle$, namely

$$\overset{(n)}{R}_{abc\alpha}\ell^\alpha \;=\; 0 \tag{3.14}$$

where ℓ^a is a null vector tangent to $\triangle$. Indeed, the contraction of the Riemann tensor with ℓ and any vector fields X^a, Y^a, Z^a, sections of $T\triangle$, can be expressed as a functional homogeneous in the derivative tensor $B^{(\ell)}{}_{ab}$:

$$\begin{aligned} X^\mu \ell^\nu Y^\alpha Z^\beta \overset{(n)}{R}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \;&=\; X^\mu Y^\alpha Z^\beta \nabla_{[\alpha}\nabla_{\beta]}\ell_\mu \\ &=\; Y^a D_a X^m Z^b B^{(\ell)}{}_{bm} - B^{(\ell)}{}_{bm}(X^m Y^a D_a Z^b + Z^b Y^a D_a X^m) \\ &\quad - Z^b D_b X^m Y^a B^{(\ell)}{}_{am} + B^{(\ell)}{}_{am}(X^m Z^b D_b Y^a + Y^a Z^b D_b X^m) \;. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Note, that in the calculation we have used the fact, that the space-time covariant derivative applied in any direction tangent to $\triangle$ preserves the tangent bundle $T\triangle$.

Thus far only the inequality $T_{\alpha\beta}\ell^\alpha\ell^\beta \geq 0$ was used apart from the zero expansion assumption and the Einstein equations with possibly non-zero cosmological constant. A somewhat stronger but still quite mild assumption about the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is[2]:

[2] This inequality automatically holds when the dominant energy condition is assumed, but is much weaker. The consequences of dropping of the condition will be briefly discussed in the appendix.

Condition III.2. *(Stronger Energy Condition) At every point of the surface $\triangle$, the vector field*

$$-T^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\ell^{\nu} \tag{3.16}$$

is causal, that is

$$g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\alpha}\ell^{\alpha}T_{\nu\beta}\ell^{\beta} \;\leq\; 0\;, \tag{3.17}$$

and future oriented, for every future oriented null vector field ℓ defined on and tangent to $\triangle$.

This condition implies in particular, the previous

$$T_{\ell\ell} \;\geq\; 0\;. \tag{3.18}$$

Now, the vanishing of the Ricci tensor component $\ell^{\mu}\ell^{\nu}\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}$ on $\triangle$ combined with Stronger Energy Condition (III.2) leads to further restrictions on the Ricci tensor. Consider the 1-form

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\ell)}{}_{a} \;:=\; \overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{a\beta}\ell^{\beta}\;, \tag{3.19}$$

a section of the cotangent bundle $T^{*}\triangle$. Due to the vanishing of $\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\ell\ell}$, at each $x\in\triangle$, $\mathcal{R}^{(\ell)}{}_{a}\in T^{*}_{x}\triangle$ is the pullback of some $\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{(\ell)}{}_{A}\in(T_{x}\triangle/L)^{*}$. The Einstein field equations allow us to express the non-positive space-time norm of the field $T^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\ell^{\nu}$ by the non-negative norm of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{(\ell)}{}_{A}$ with respect to $\bar{q}^{AB}$,

$$0 \;\geq\; g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\alpha}\ell^{\alpha}T_{\nu\beta}\ell^{\beta} \;=\; \bar{q}^{AB}\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{(\ell)}{}_{A}\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{(\ell)}{}_{B} \;\geq\; 0\;. \tag{3.20}$$

Hence, the pullback onto $\triangle$ of the Ricci tensor contracted with ℓ is identically zero at $\triangle$,

$$\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{a\beta}\ell^{\beta} \;=\; 0\;. \tag{3.21}$$

Combining this result with the condition (3.14) on the Riemann tensor one can obtain the following condition on the space-time Weyl tensor at the NEH:

$$\overset{(n)}{C}_{abc\delta}\ell^{\delta}|_{\triangle} \;=\; 0\;. \tag{3.22}$$

In $n=4$ case the condition means that the null direction tangent to the surface $\triangle$ is a double principal null direction of the Weyl tensor. In [12] the Petrov classification of the Weyl tensor was generalized to an arbitrary dimension. The Weyl tensor was expressed in a frame built of real vectors $(n,\ell,\theta_{(A)})$ such that[3]

- On a given n dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$ the the vectors (n,ℓ) are null and normalized by the condition $\ell^{\mu}n_{\mu}=-1$.
- The spacelike vectors $\theta_{(A)}$ constitute the orthonormal basis of the subspace of $T\mathcal{M}$ orthogonal to (n,ℓ).

[3] The proposed frame is an analog of the Newman-Penrose complex null tetrad [13]. The pair of complex null vectors $(m,\bar{m})$ is replaced by a set of real spacelike unit vectors which allows to generalize the frame to arbitrary dimension.

The proposed classification is based on the behavior of the Weyl tensor under the boost transformations ($\ell \mapsto f\ell, n \mapsto f^{-1}n$). For a given (fixed) ℓ the Weyl tensor can be decomposed onto the sum of the terms $C^{(b)}$, such that each of them transforms under the boost in the following way: $C^{(b)} \mapsto f^b C^{(b)}$. The integer power b is called the boost weight. The weight of the leading term (denoted as the boost order $\mathcal{B}(\ell)$) depends on the ℓ only. Therefore for a given Weyl tensor one can distinguish the set of *aligned* vectors of the boost order $\mathcal{B}(\ell) \leq 1$. The Weyl tensor is classified as being of the type I (II,III,N) if there exists null vector ℓ of the boost order 1 $(0, -1, -2)$, and there does not exist a null vector of a lower order. The condition (3.22) implies that the boost order of the null direction tangent to $\triangle$ is at most 0, so the Weyl tensor is at least of the type II with respect to the principal classification introduced in [12] and sketched above.

E. Rotation

The covariant derivative D_a induced on $\triangle$ preserves the null direction bundle L. Indeed, for every section ℓ^a of L, and every vector field X^a, a section of $T\triangle$, the vector field $D_X\ell^a$ is orthogonal to every vector Y^a tangent to $\triangle$,

$$q_{ab}Y^a D_X \ell^b \;=\; -q_{ab}\ell^b D_c Y^a \;=\; 0\ . \tag{3.23}$$

That implies that the derivative $D_a\ell^b$ is proportional to ℓ^b itself,

$$D_a \ell^b \;=\; B^{(\ell)}{}_a{}^b \;=\; \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \ell^b\ , \tag{3.24}$$

where $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ is a 1-form defined uniquely on this subset of $\triangle$ on which $\ell \neq 0$ is defined. We call $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ the rotation 1-form potential, as a generalization of the $n = 4$ dimensional case [4].

In 4 dimensions, the evolution of $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ along the surface $\triangle$ upon the null flow is responsible for the Zeroth Low of the non-expanding horizon thermodynamics. Therefore, we study this equation in the current case. It is convenient to investigate the behavior of the following object:

$$\ell^b \mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \;=\; \mathcal{L}_\ell B^{(\ell)b}{}_a\ . \tag{3.25}$$

The right hand side is given by (2.6), and after a short calculation it reads as follows,

$$\ell^b \mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \;=\; \ell^b D_a \kappa^{(\ell)} - {}^{(n)}R_c{}^b{}_{da}\ell^c\ell^d\ . \tag{3.26}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\kappa^{(\ell)} \;=\; \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \ell^a\ . \tag{3.27}$$

The vanishing of the components ${}^{(n)}R_{abcd}\ell^d$ (see (3.15)) allows us to express the Riemann tensor component appearing in (3.26) by the Ricci tensor

$${}^{(n)}R_c{}^b{}_{da}\ell^c\ell^d \;=\; -{}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{ca}\ell^b\ell^c\ , \tag{3.28}$$

hence the vector field ℓ^a can be completely factored out,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \;=\; D_a\kappa^{(\ell)} \;+\; {}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{ab}\ell^b \tag{3.29}$$

If Stronger Energy Condition (III.2) holds then the last term above also vanishes (see Section III D).

In conclusion, the evolution of the rotation potential is described by the following theorem:

Theorem III.3 (The Zeroth Law). *Suppose $\triangle$ is an $n-1$ dimensional, non-expanding, null surface; suppose that the assumptions of Theorem III.1 and Stronger Energy Condition III.2 are satisfied. Then, for every null vector field ℓ^a defined on and tangent to $\triangle$, the corresponding rotation 1-form potential $\omega^{(\ell)}$ and the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ satisfy the following constraint:*

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \;=\; D_a \kappa^{(\ell)} \;. \tag{3.30}$$

Theorem III.3 tells us, that there is always a choice of the section ℓ of the null direction bundle L such that $\omega^{(\ell)}$ is Lie dragged by ℓ. For, we can always find a non-trivial section ℓ of L such that $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ is constant. The relation with the original Zeroth Law of black hole thermodynamic goes the other way around. Indeed, if the vector field ℓ^a admits an extension to a Killing vector defined in a neighborhood of $\triangle$, then $\omega^{(\ell)}$ is Lie dragged by the flow, therefore the left hand side is zero, hence $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ is necessarily (locally) constant.

The dependence of the rotation 1-form potential $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ on a choice of the section ℓ^a of L follows from (2.10): If $\ell'^a = f\ell^a$, then

$$\omega^{(\ell')}{}_a \;=\; \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a + D_a \ln f \;. \tag{3.31}$$

As one can see, its external derivative (in the sense of the manifold $\triangle$) is the surface $\triangle$ invariant,

$$\Omega_{ab} \;:=\; D_a \omega^{(\ell)}{}_b - D_b \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \;=\; D_a \omega^{(\ell')}{}_b - D_b \omega^{(\ell')}{}_a \;. \tag{3.32}$$

We call it the rotation 2-form. Note, that whereas the sections of the null direction bundle L were considered on $\triangle$ locally, and so were the corresponding rotation 1-form potentials $\omega^{(\ell')}{}_a$, the rotation 2-form is defined globally on $\triangle$. An immediate consequence of Theorem (III.3) is that whenever the assumptions are satisfied, the rotation 2-form is orthogonal to the bundle L, and Lie dragged by any (local) null flow defined by a section ℓ of L,

$$\ell^a \Omega_{ab} \;=\; \mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}{}_b - D_b(\ell^a \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a) \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{3.33a}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \Omega_{ab} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{3.33b}$$

Therefore, the rotation 2-form Ω_{ab} is at every point $x \in \triangle$ the pullback with respect to $T_x\triangle \to T_x\triangle/L_x$ of a tensor $\bar{\Omega}_{AB}$ defined in $T_x\triangle/L_x$, and such that

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \bar{\Omega}_{AB} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{3.34}$$

F. Geometry and the constraints

Given a non-expanding null surface $\triangle$, the pair (q_{ab}, D_a), that is the induced degenerate metric and, respectively, the induced covariant derivative are referred to

as the geometry of $\triangle$. By a 'constraint' on the non-expanding surface geometry we mean here every geometric identity $F(q_{ab}, D_a, \overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ involving the geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) and the space-time Ricci tensor at $\triangle$ only. Part of the constraints is already solved by Theorem III.1 (ii), that is by the conclusion that q_{ab} be Lie dragged by every null flow generated a null vector field ℓ tangent to $\triangle$. Another example of a constraint is the Zeroth Law (3.29, 3.30). A complete set of the functionally independent constraints is formed by $\mathcal{L}_\ell q_{ab} = 0$ and by an identity satisfied by the commutator $[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]$, where ℓ is a fixed, non-vanishing section of the null direction bundle L. We turn now to the second identity mentioned above.

Using the formula (3.24), and using (3.14), after simple calculations one can express the value of the commutator $[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]$ by the rotation potential, its derivative, and the space-time Riemann tensor,

$$[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]X^b \;=\; \Big[\ell^b \left(D_{(a}\omega^{(\ell)}{}_{c)} \;+\; \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a\omega^{(\ell)}{}_c\right) -\; \ell^\delta \overset{(n)}{R}{}^b{}_{(ca)\delta}\Big] X^c \tag{3.35}$$

(where $D_a\omega^{(\ell)}{}_c$, stands for the tensor, not for a derivative operator acting on X^b). It follows from the condition (3.14), that $\ell^\delta \overset{(n+2)}{R}{}^b{}_{(ca)\delta}$ is also proportional to ℓ^b, and we can write

$$[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]X^b \;=\; \ell^b N_{ac} X^c \;. \tag{3.36}$$

To spell out what the proportionality factor N_{ac} is we need to remind that the degenerate metric tensor field q_{ab} can be locally defined as the pullback (3.9) of the n-dimensional metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$ defined on the manifold of the curves generating $\triangle$. The proportionality factor can be expressed by the pullback spacetime Ricci tensor and the pullback[4] of the Ricci tensor $\overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}_{AB}$ of the metric $\hat{q}_{AB}$, namely

$$N_{ac} \;=\; D_{(a}\omega^{(\ell)}{}_{c)} \;+\; \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a\omega^{(\ell)}{}_c + \frac{1}{2}\left(\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{ac} - \pi^* \overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}_{ac}\right) \;. \tag{3.37}$$

The identities (3.36, 3.37) are the constraints in the sense explained at the beginning of this subsection. They become the gravitational part of the genuine Einstein constraints when the space-time Ricci tensor is replaced by the cosmological constant part and by the energy momentum tensor of the matter field. As an example, later we will consider the vacuum case. We did not assume Stronger Energy Condition (III.2) to derive (3.36, 3.37).

The contraction of (3.36, 3.37) with ℓ^a is equivalent to (3.29). Hence it defines the evolution of the rotation 1-form potential $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ already used in the proof of the Zeroth Law. Recall, that locally there is on $\triangle$ a nowhere vanishing tangent, null vector field ℓ_o such that

$$\kappa^{(\ell_o)} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{3.38}$$

The corresponding $\omega^{(\ell_o)}$ is Lie dragged by the vector field ℓ_o, provided the assumptions of the Zeroth Law are satisfied,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell_o)} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{3.39}$$

[4] The first pullback is defined by the embedding $\triangle \to \mathcal{M}$, whereas the second one corresponds to the locally defined projection $\pi : \triangle' \to \hat{\triangle}'$ of a neighborhood $\triangle' \subset \triangle$ onto the space of the null curves in $\triangle'$.

The meaning of the remaining part of the constraint (3.36, 3.37) is explained in the next sub-section after we itemize the derivative D_a into components and provide a more explicit form of eqs. (3.36, 3.37).

IV. ELEMENTS OF THE NON-EXPANDING NULL SURFACE GEOMETRY

A. Compatible coordinates, foliations

To understand better the elements of the covariant derivative D_a induced on a null, non-expanding surface $\triangle$, and to investigate further its relation with the space-time Ricci tensor, we need to introduce an extra local structure on $\triangle$.

Let ℓ^a be a nowhere vanishing local section of the null direction bundle L. Given ℓ^a, let v be a real function defined in the domain of ℓ^a, compatible with ℓ^a, that is such that

$$\ell^a D_a v \;=\; 1 \;. \tag{4.1}$$

the function v exists provided we sufficiently reduce the domain of ℓ^a. We will refer to v as to a coordinate compatible with ℓ. The function v is used to define a covector field on $\triangle$,

$$n_a \;:=\; -D_a v \;. \tag{4.2}$$

The covector field has the following properties,

(i) Is normalized in the sense that

$$\ell^a n_a \;=\; -1 \;, \tag{4.3}$$

(ii) It is orthogonal to the constancy surfaces $\tilde{\triangle}_v$ of the function v.

The surfaces $\tilde{\triangle}_v$ will be referred to as slices. The family of he slices is preserved by the null flow of ℓ, and so is n_a,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell n_a \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{4.4}$$

At every point $x \in \triangle$, the tensor

$$\tilde{q}^a{}_b \;:=\; \delta^a{}_b + \ell^a n_b \tag{4.5}$$

defines the orthogonal to ℓ^a projection

$$T_x\triangle \;\ni\; X^a \;\mapsto\; \tilde{X}^a \;=\; \tilde{q}^a{}_b X^b \;\in\; T_x\tilde{\triangle}_v \;. \tag{4.6}$$

onto the tangent space $T_x\tilde{\triangle}_v$, where $\tilde{\triangle}_v$ is the slice passing through x. Hence, instead of $\tilde{X}^a$ we will write $\tilde{X}^A$, according to the index notation explained in Introduction. Applied to the covectors, elements of $T^*_x M$, on the other hand, $\tilde{q}^a{}_b$ maps each of them into the pullback onto $\tilde{\triangle}_v$,

$$T^*_x\triangle \;\ni\; Y_a \;\mapsto\; \tilde{Y}_a \;:=\; \tilde{q}^b{}_a Y_b \;\in\; T^*_x\tilde{\triangle}_v \;, \tag{4.7}$$

hence the result will be also denoted by by using a capital Latin index, as for example $\tilde{Y}_A$.

The field n_a could be extended to a section of the pullback $T^*_{\triangle}\mathcal{M}$ to $\triangle$ of the cotangent bundle T^*M, by the requirement that

$$g^{\mu\nu}n_\mu n_\nu \;=\; 0\ . \tag{4.8}$$

Hence n_a can be thought of as a transversal to $\triangle$ null vector field from the space-time point of view.

B. The elements of D_a

Each slice $\tilde{\triangle}_v$ of the foliation introduced above is equipped with the induced metric tensor $\tilde{q}_{AB}$ defined by the pullback of q_{ab} (and of $g_{\alpha\beta}$) to $\tilde{\triangle}_v$. Denote by $\tilde{D}_A$ the torsion free and metric covariant derivative determined on $\tilde{\triangle}_v$ by the metric tensor $\tilde{q}_{AB}$. All the slices are naturally isometric.

The covector field n_a gives rise to the following symmetric tensor defined on $\triangle$,

$$S_{ab} \;:=\; D_a n_b\ . \tag{4.9}$$

Given the structure introduced in the previous subsection on $\triangle$ locally (the null vector field ℓ^a, the foliation by slices $\tilde{\triangle}_v$ and the covector field n_a), the derivative D_a defined on $\triangle$ is determined by the following information

- the torsion free covariant derivative $\tilde{D}_A$ corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection of the induced metric tensor $\tilde{q}_{AB}$,
- the rotation 1-form potential $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$, and
- a symmetric tensor $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ defined in each slice $\tilde{\triangle}_v$, by the pullback of $D_a n_b$,

$$\tilde{S}_{AB} \;=\; \tilde{q}^a{}_A\tilde{q}^b{}_B S_{ab}\ , \tag{4.10}$$

  and referred to the transversal expansion-shear tensor.

Indeed, for every vector field X^a and every covector field Y_a, the sections of $T\triangle$ and, respectively, $T^*\triangle$, their derivative can be composed from the following pieces:

$$\tilde{q}^a{}_A\tilde{q}^B{}_b D_a X^b \;=\; \tilde{D}_A\tilde{X}^B \tag{4.11a}$$

$$\tilde{q}^a{}_A n_b D_a X^b \;=\; \tilde{D}_A(X^b n_b) - \tilde{q}^a{}_A S_{ab} \tag{4.11b}$$

$$\ell^a D_a X^b \;=\; \mathcal{L}_\ell X^b + X^a\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a\ell^b \tag{4.11c}$$

$$\tilde{q}^a{}_A\tilde{q}^b{}_B D_a Y_b \;=\; \tilde{D}_A\tilde{Y}_B - (Y_b\ell^b)\tilde{q}^a{}_A\tilde{q}^b{}_B S_{ab} \tag{4.11d}$$

$$\tilde{q}^a{}_A\ell^b D_a Y_b \;=\; \tilde{D}_A(\ell^b Y_b) - \tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A\ell^b Y_b \tag{4.11e}$$

$$\ell^a D_a Y_b \;=\; \mathcal{L}_\ell Y_b - \omega^{(\ell)}{}_b Y_a\ell^a \tag{4.11f}$$

where we have used the notation introduced in the previous section: $\tilde{X}^A = \tilde{q}^A{}_a X^a$, $\tilde{Y}_A = \tilde{q}^a{}_A Y_a$, $\tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A = \tilde{q}^a{}_A\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$.

The careful reader noticed that all the components of the tensor S_{ab} were used above, not only the $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ part. However, due to the normalization (4.3) the contraction of the tensor with the null normal to $\triangle$ is equal to:

$$\ell^a S_{ab} \;=\; \omega^{(\ell)}{}_b\ . \tag{4.12}$$

C. The constraints on the elements of D_a

The constraints satisfied by D_a are expressed in the previous section by the commutator $[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]$ (3.36, 3.37). Since the foliation we used to decompose D_a into the elements $\tilde{D}_A$, $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ and $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ is invariant with respect to the flow of ℓ, the evolution of D_a comes down to an evolution of $\tilde{D}_A$, $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ and $\tilde{S}_{AB}$. The slice connection $\tilde{D}_A$ is just invariant with respect to the flow, because of (3.7). The evolution of $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ is already given by the Zeroth Law (3.30). To describe the evolution of the remaining element $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ we calculate the action of the commutator on the covector n_a and find, that the tensor N_{ab} defined in (3.36) can be expressed by $\mathcal{L}_\ell S_{ab}$, namely

$$N_{ab} \;=\; \mathcal{L}_\ell S_{ab} \;. \tag{4.13}$$

Therefore, by (3.37),

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell S_{ab} \;=\; D_{(a}\omega^{(\ell)}{}_{b)} + \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a\omega^{(\ell)}{}_b - \tfrac{1}{2}\overset{(n)}{R}{}_{c(ab)}{}^d\ell^c n_d \;. \tag{4.14}$$

The contraction of the expression with ℓ^a reproduces the Zeroth Law. The more interesting at this point component, namely the pullback of the tensor $\mathcal{L}_\ell S_{ab}$ onto a slice $\tilde{\triangle}_v$, gives us the formula for the evolution of the transversal expansion-shear tensor $\tilde{S}_{AB}$, we are asking about,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \tilde{S}_{AB} \;=\; -\kappa^{(\ell)}\tilde{S}_{AB} + \tilde{D}_{(A}\tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_{B)} + \tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A\tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_B - \tfrac{1}{2}\overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}{}_{AB} + \tfrac{1}{2}\overset{(n)}{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}}{}_{AB} \;, \tag{4.15}$$

where tilde consequently means the projection (4.7), and $\overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}{}_{AB}$ is the Ricci tensor of the metric tensor induced in slice $\tilde{\triangle}_v$ (since locally, every slice $\triangle_v$ is naturally isometric with the space of the null curves $\hat{\triangle}'$ equipped with the metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$ we denote the corresponding Ricci tensors in the same way).

V. ISOLATED NULL SURFACES

A. Definition, assumptions, constraints

In this section we are continuing the study of the non-expanding, null surfaces. We are assuming that the Einstein equations with a (possibly zero) cosmological constant hold on the surface, with the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ which satisfies Stronger Energy Conditions (III.2). As it was shown, these assumptions imply, that the spacetime Ricci tensor satisfies (3.21).

Let $\triangle$ be a non-expanding null surface. Whereas the induced metric tensor is Lie dragged by every null vector field tangent to $\triangle$ we could see that the remaining ingredient of the geometry, the covariant derivative, is subject to the null evolution equation (3.36, 3.37) implied by the constraints. The equation depends on a choice of the null vector field ℓ, however, in general (and generically in the 4-spacetime dimensional case) a geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) does not admit any choice of ℓ such that $[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a] = 0$.

Definition V.1. *An isolated null surface is a non-expanding null surface $\triangle$ equipped with a class $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$ of tangent, null, non-vanishing vector fields $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} q_{ab} \;=\; 0 \;, \qquad\qquad [\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, D_a] \;=\; 0 \tag{5.1}$$

where q_{ab} is the induced degenerate metric tensor and D_a is the induced covariant derivative, and $\boldsymbol{\ell}, \boldsymbol{\ell}' \in [\boldsymbol{\ell}]$ provided $\boldsymbol{\ell}' = c\boldsymbol{\ell}$ where c is a constant.

In this section we consider an isolated null surface $(\triangle, [\boldsymbol{\ell}])$. We assume $\triangle$ is connected. Note, that given the flow $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$, the rotation 1-form ${\omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}}_a$ is defined uniquely owing to (3.31). Obviously, it is Lie dragged by $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$,

$$\boldsymbol{\ell}^b \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} {\omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}}_a \;=\; \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(D_a \boldsymbol{\ell}^b) \;=\; D_a \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \boldsymbol{\ell}^b \;=\; 0 \; . \tag{5.2}$$

In the consequence, Theorem III.3 takes the familiar form of the 0th Law of the black hole thermodynamics,

$$\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \;=\; \text{const} \; , \tag{5.3}$$

where the value of the surface gravity depends on the choice of $\ell \in [\ell]$ unless $\kappa^{(\ell)} = 0$.

The constraint (3.36, 3.37) takes the following form

$$D_{(a}{\omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}}_{c)} \;+\; {\omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}}_a {\omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}}_c + \frac{1}{2}\left({}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{ac} - {\pi^*}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{ac} \right) \;=\; 0 \; . \tag{5.4}$$

Not surprisingly, a necessary condition is that the pullback of the space-time Ricci tensor on $\triangle$ is Lie dragged by $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} {}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{ab} \;=\; 0 \; . \tag{5.5}$$

To understand better the meaning of the equation (5.4) let us apply the (local) decomposition of D_a introduced in Section IV B. Introduce a foliation of $\triangle$ preserved by $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$ and use the corresponding covector n_a, orthogonal to the slices and normalized to an arbitrarily fixed null vector field $\boldsymbol{\ell}^a$ generating the flow $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$. If the derivative D_a satisfies the definition of the isolated null surface, then the corresponding transversal expansion-shear tensor $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ defined on the slices is invariant with respect to the null flow

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \tilde{S}_{AB} \;=\; \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \left({\tilde{q}^a}_A {\tilde{q}^b}_B D_a n_b \right) \;=\; 0 \; , \tag{5.6}$$

because all the factors in the parenthesis are invariant. Conversely, given a non-expanding null surface $\triangle$, a null flow $[\ell]$ generated by nowhere vanishing vector field ℓ^a, and one of the foliations defined in Sec. IV A, the invariance of ${\omega^{(\ell)}}_a$ and $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ with respect to the null flow implies that $(\triangle, [\ell])$ is an isolated null surface.

Now, the constraint (4.15) implies

$$\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \tilde{S}_{AB} \;=\; \tilde{D}_{(A} \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{B)} + \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_A \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_B - \tfrac{1}{2} {}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB} + {}^{(n)}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} \; . \tag{5.7}$$

A characterization of the isolated null surface depends crucially on whether $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}$ vanishes or not, therefore we define two types of the isolated null surfaces:

(i) *extremal*, if $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} = 0$, or

(ii) *non-extremal*, whenever $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \neq 0$.

The meaning of the constraint (5.7) depends on the type. In the non-extremal case (5.7) determines $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ given $\tilde{q}_{AB}, \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_A$ and the pullback ${}^{(n)}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{AB}$ of the spacetime Ricci tensor expressed by the cosmological constant and the matter energy momentum tensor.

Theorem V.2 (Non-extremal, vacuum isolated null surface). *Let* $(\triangle, [\boldsymbol{\ell}])$ *be a non-extremal isolated null surface; suppose the vacuum Einstein equations with a cosmological constant* Λ *are satisfied. Then, the geometry of* $\triangle$ *is determined by the induced metric tensor* q_{ab}*, the rotation 1-form potential* $\omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_a$ *and the value* Λ *of the cosmological constant.*

If matter fields are present, then typically the geometry is determined just by adding to $(q_{ab}, \omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_a, \Lambda)$ an appropriate information on the field on $\triangle$.

In the extremal case, on the other hand, equation (5.4) becomes a condition on $\tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_A$, q_{AB} and ${}^{(n)}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{AB}$.

Theorem V.3 (Extremal, isolated null surface). *Suppose* $(\triangle, [\boldsymbol{\ell}])$ *is an extremal isolated null surface contained in* n *dimensional spacetime; then, for every* $n-2$*-dimensional spacelike submanifold* $\tilde{\triangle}$ *transversal to the orbits of the null flow, the following constraint is satisfied*

$$\tilde{D}_{(A}\tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{B)} + \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_A\tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_B - \tfrac{1}{2}\,{}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,{}^{(n)}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} \;=\; 0\;, \tag{5.8}$$

where $\tilde{D}_A$ *and* ${}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB}$ *are, respectively, the metric, torsion free connection and the corresponding Ricci tensor of the metric tensor* $\tilde{q}_{AB}$ *induced on* $\tilde{\triangle}$*.*

In the vacuum case, the geometry of extremal isolated surfaces gives rise to an equation which can be formulated in a self contained way. Given an $n-2$-dimensional manifold $\tilde{\triangle}$, consider a pair $(\tilde{q}_{AB}, \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_A)$, which consists of, respectively, a metric tensor field (of the Riemannian signature) and a differential 1-form. The equation reads

$$\tilde{D}_{(A}\tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{B)} + \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_A\tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_B - \tfrac{1}{2}\,{}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB} \;=\; \tfrac{1}{2}\Lambda\tilde{q}_{AB} \tag{5.9}$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant and $\tilde{q}_{AB}$ is still defined by (4.7). In the case when $\tilde{\triangle}$ is compact and $\Lambda = 0$, the equation has quite interesting properties. They were discussed in [14] in the $n = 4$ case. In particular it was shown there that if $\tilde{\triangle}$ is topologically a 2-sphere, then the only axially symmetric solutions are those defined by the extremal Kerr solutions at their event horizons. The general solution to the equation (5.9) is not known.

B. Non-expanding null surfaces admitting a 2-dimensional null symmetry group

A given isolated null surface $(\triangle, [\boldsymbol{\ell}])$, a priory there may exist another null flow $[\boldsymbol{\ell}']$ defining a symmetry of the geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) and being another isolated null surface structure. In the 4 spacetime dimensions this non-generic case of 2-dimensional null symmetry group was studied in detail (see [4, 14]). In particular, an unexpected relation with the extremal isolated null surface constraints was discovered and used in the construction of examples [14]. It turns out that those results can be easily generalized to the surfaces embedded in a higher dimensional spacetime. We are concerned with this issue in this subsection.

Suppose then, that a non-expanding null surface $\triangle$ admits two distinct isolated null surface structures $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$, and $[\boldsymbol{\ell}']$. Let vector fields $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell}'$ be generators of the flows. There exists a real nowhere vanishing function f defined on $\triangle$, such that

$$\boldsymbol{\ell}' \;=\; f\boldsymbol{\ell}\;. \tag{5.10}$$

Each of the commutators $[\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, D_a]$ and $[\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}'}, D_a]$ is represented, respectively, by the tensor N_{ab} and N'_{ab} (5.4). According to the very assumption made in this subsection, they both identically vanish. On the other hand, generally one is related to another by the following transformation law:

$$fN'_{bc} \;=\; fN_{bc} + \omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_c D_b f + \omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_b D_c f + D_b D_c f\;. \tag{5.11}$$

If both vector fields $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ and $f\boldsymbol{\ell}$ are the symmetries of (q_{ab}, D_a) then both Lie derivatives vanish. The equation above becomes then a differential condition on the function f, namely

$$D_a D_b f + 2\omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{(a} D_{b)} f \;=\; 0\;. \tag{5.12}$$

Contraction of the condition with $\boldsymbol{\ell}^b$ gives the equation

$$D_a(\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} f + \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} f) \;=\; 0\;, \tag{5.13}$$

which allows us to determine the null evolution of f

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} f + \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} f \;=\; \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}')} \;=\; \text{const}\;. \tag{5.14}$$

By integrating this equation we obtain a solution whose form depends on the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}$

$$f \;=\; \begin{cases} Be^{-\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} v} + \frac{\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}')}}{\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}} & \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \neq 0 \\ \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}')} v - B & \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{5.15}$$

where v is a coordinate compatible with $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ (defined via (4.1)) and B is an arbitrary real function constant along the null generators.

Note that we used the Zeroth Law according to which the surface gravity is constant at the surface. The Zeroth Law relies on Stronger Energy Condition (III.2).

To determine the function B we need to use the remaining part of (5.12), namely its projection onto the slice $\tilde{\triangle}_v$ (see (4.9,4.10))

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}^a{}_A \tilde{q}^b_B (D_a D_b f + 2\omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{(a} D_{b)} f) \;&=\; \tilde{D}_A \tilde{D}_B f + 2\tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{(A} \tilde{D}_{B)} f - \tilde{q}^a{}_A \tilde{q}^b_B D_a(\boldsymbol{\ell}^{b'} n_b + n^{b'} \boldsymbol{\ell}_b) D_{b'} f \\ &=\; \tilde{D}_A \tilde{D}_B f + 2\tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{(A} \tilde{D}_{B)} f - \tilde{S}_{AB} \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} f\;. \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Without lost of the generality we can restrict ourselves to the following cases:

(i) $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \neq 0$

(ii) $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} = \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}')} = 0$.

In both of them the equation (5.16) is equivalent to the following differential constraint for B:

$$\left[\tilde{D}_A\tilde{D}_B + 2\tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{(A}\tilde{D}_{B)} + \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}\tilde{S}_{AB}\right] B \;=\; 0\;. \tag{5.17}$$

By the comparison with the constraint (5.7) on the isolated null surface geometry we can see that the term $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}\tilde{S}_{AB}$ can be replaced by the appropriate functional of $(\tilde{q}_{AB}, \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}_A)}, \overset{(n)}{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}}_{AB})$. The resulting equation leads to an interesting conclusion. Since B nowhere vanishes (the flows are both non-trivial and distinct) the set of data $(\tilde{q}_{AB}, \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_A, \overset{(n)}{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}}_{AB}, B)$ satisfies the constraint (5.17) if and only if the set $(\tilde{q}_{AB}, \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}_o)}{}_A = \tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_A + \tilde{D}_A \ln B, \overset{(n)}{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}}_{AB})$ satisfies the constraint (5.8) for the geometry of the extremal isolated null surface. We will go back to the consequence of this result at the end of the the next section.

VI. NON-EXPANDING HORIZONS AND ISOLATED HORIZONS

Thus far our considerations were purely local. No global assumptions concerning the null surfaces topology were made. The specific property of a quasi-locally defined black hole is its compact character in space-like dimensions. This notion has not been defined on the most general level. We consider in our paper the topologically simplest and, at the same time, the typical case of the Cartesian product structure:

A. Non-expanding horizons

Definition VI.1. *A non-expanding null surface $\triangle$ in an n dimensional spacetime $\mathcal{M}$ is called a non-expanding horizon (NEH) if there is an embedding*

$$\hat{\triangle}" \times \mathbb{R} \;\rightarrow\; \mathcal{M} \tag{6.1}$$

such that:

- *$\triangle$ is the image,*
- *$\hat{\triangle}"$ is an $n-2$ dimensional compact manifold,*
- *$\mathbb{R}$ is the real line,*
- *for every maximal null curve in $\triangle$ there is $\hat{x} \in \hat{\triangle}"$ such that the curve is the image of $\{\hat{x}\} \times \mathbb{R}$.*

The *base space* $\hat{\triangle}$ defined as the space of all the maximal null curves in $\triangle$ can be identified with the manifold $\hat{\triangle}"$ given an embedding used in Definition VI.1. Whereas the embedding is not unique, the manifold structure defined in this way on $\hat{\triangle}$ is unique. There is also a uniquely defined projection

$$\pi : \triangle \;\rightarrow\; \hat{\triangle}\;. \tag{6.2}$$

In this section we consider a NEH $\triangle$. Of course it inherits all the properties of the non-expanding null surfaces. The following theorems are applications of the results of Section III to the non-expanding horizons.

The first theorem summarizes the properties following from the weaker energy assumption (2.15):

Theorem VI.2. *Suppose $\triangle$ is a non-expanding horizon in a spacetime $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose at $\triangle$ the spacetime Einstein field equations hold and the matter fields satisfy the condition (2.15). Let ℓ be an arbitrary null vector field tangent to $\triangle$ (in items (iii-vii) below); then:*

(i) there is a metric tensor field $\hat{q}_{AB}$ (called projective) defined on the base space $\hat{\triangle}$, such that the degenerate metric tensor q_{ab} induced in $\triangle$ by the space-time metric tensor is given by the pullback,

$$q_{ab} \;=\; \pi^* \hat{q}_{ab} \;; \tag{6.3}$$

(ii) there is a covariant derivative D_a defined in the tangent bundle $T\triangle$ such that, for every two vector fields X, Y,

$$D_X Y \;=\; \nabla_X Y \;, \tag{6.4}$$

where ∇_α is the space-time covariant derivative;

(iii) there is a 1-form $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ (called the rotation 1-form potential) defined on $\triangle$ such that

$$D_a \ell^b \;=\; \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \ell^b \;; \tag{6.5}$$

(iv) the rotation 2-form (invariant)

$$\Omega_{ab} \;:=\; D_a \omega^{(\ell)}{}_b - D_b \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \tag{6.6}$$

is uniquely is independent of ℓ;

(v) The rotation 1-form potential and the self acceleration $\kappa^{(\ell)} := \ell^a \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ of ℓ satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \;=\; D_a \kappa^{(\ell)} + {}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{ab} \ell^b \tag{6.7}$$

(vi) the infinitesimal Lie transport of D_a with respect to the null flow of ℓ is the following tensor:

$$[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]^b_c \;=\; \ell^b \left(D_{(a} \omega^{(\ell)}{}_{c)} \;+\; \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \omega^{(\ell)}{}_c + \frac{1}{2} \left({}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{ac} - \pi^* {}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{ac} \right) \right) \tag{6.8}$$

where ${}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB}$ is the Ricci tensor of the metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$

(vii) the following components of the pullback onto $\triangle$ of the spacetime Ricci and Riemann tensor vanish:

$$\ell^\alpha \ell^\beta {}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; 0 \;=\; \ell^\alpha {}^{(n)}R_{\alpha bcd} \;. \tag{6.9}$$

In the previous sections we also considered Stronger Energy Condition III.2. The following theorem summarizes its consequences for a non-expanding horizon:

Theorem VI.3. *Suppose all the assumptions of Theorem VI.2 are satisfied and additionally the matter fields at $\triangle$ satisfy Stronger Energy Condition III.2; then:*

- *On the base space $\hat{\triangle}$, there is a uniquely defined 2-form $\hat{\Omega}_{AB}$ such that the rotation 2-form invariant is its pullback*

$$\Omega_{ab} \;=\; \pi^*\hat{\Omega}_{ab} \;; \tag{6.10}$$

- *the rotation 1-form potential and the self acceleration satisfy*

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \;=\; D_a\kappa^{(\ell)} \;; \tag{6.11}$$

- *the pullback of the space-time Ricci tensor and, respectively, the space-time Weyl tensor onto $\triangle$ is transversal to the null direction tangent to $\triangle$,*

$$\ell^\alpha \overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha b} \;=\; 0 \;=\; \ell^\alpha \overset{(n)}{C}_{\alpha bcd} \;. \tag{6.12}$$

We will consider now the non-expanding horizons such Theorem VI.3 applies.

In the case of the non-expanding horizons, there are globally defined, nowhere vanishing null vector fields ℓ^a tangent to $\triangle$ at our disposal. In particular, there is a vector field ℓ^a_o of the identically vanishing self acceleration, $\kappa^{(\ell_o)} = 0$. There is also a null vector field ℓ^a of $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ being an arbitrary constant,[5]

$$\kappa^{(\ell)} \;=\; \text{const} \;. \tag{6.13}$$

The vector field ℓ^a can vanish in a harmless, for our purposes, way on an $n-2$ dimensional section of $\triangle$ only. We fix one of the vector fields ℓ^a (including (ℓ^a_o)) throughout this section. We will also use a coordinate v compatible with the vector field ℓ^a ($\ell^a D_a v = 1$), and the covector field n_a ($= -D_a v$), both introduced in Section IV A defined on $\triangle$ (except the zero slice of ℓ). It follows from the Zeroth Law (6.11) that the rotation 1-form potential is Lie dragged by ℓ,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{6.14}$$

We discuss below two independent consequences of this fact. The first one is the existence of a new invariant of the geometry of $\triangle$, a certain harmonic 1-form invariantly defined on the base manifold $\hat{\triangle}$. The second one concerns the degrees of freedom of a general vacuum solution (q_{ab}, D_a) of the constraints (3.7, 3.36, 3.37).

1. Harmonic invariant

It turns out, that $\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a$ defines on the base space $\hat{\triangle}$ a unique 1-form depending only on the geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) of $\triangle$. Indeed, given the function v, there is a differential 1-form field $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A$ defined on $\hat{\triangle}$ and called the projective rotation 1-form potential, such that

$$\omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \;=\; \pi^*\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_a \;+\; \kappa^{(\ell)} D_a v \;. \tag{6.15}$$

[5] The first one, ℓ_o can be defined by fixing appropriately affine parameter v at each null curve in $\triangle$. Then, the second vector field is just $\ell = v\ell_o$.

The 1-form $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A$ is not uniquely defined, though. It depends on the choice of the function v compatible with ℓ^a, and on the choice of ℓ^a itself. Given ℓ^a, the freedom is in the transformations

$$v \;=\; v' + B, \quad \mathcal{L}_\ell B \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{6.16a}$$

$$\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\prime}{}_A \;=\; \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A + \hat{D}_A B \;. \tag{6.16b}$$

The transformations $\ell'^a = f\ell^a$ which preserve the condition (6.13) are given by (5.15), and it can be shown using (3.31), that the only possible form of the corresponding $\tilde{\omega}^{(\ell')}{}_A$ is again that of (6.16b) with possibly different function B. Therefore, if we apply the (unique) Hodge decomposition onto the exact, the co-exact, and the harmonic part, respectively, to $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A$,

$$\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A \;=\; \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\mathrm{ex}}_{\quad A} + \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\mathrm{co}}_{\quad A} + \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\mathrm{ha}}_{\quad A} \;, \tag{6.17}$$

then the parts $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\mathrm{co}}_{\quad A}$ and $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\mathrm{ha}}_{\quad A}$ are invariant, that is determined by the geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) of $\triangle$ only. The co-exact part is determined by the already defined invariant 2-form (3.32), via

$$\hat{\Omega}_{AB} \;=\; \hat{D}_A \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\mathrm{co}}_{\quad B} - \hat{D}_B \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\mathrm{co}}_{\quad A} \;. \tag{6.18}$$

The harmonic part of $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A$ is the new invariant. It did not occur in the case of spherical $\hat{\triangle}$ considered in [4]. In the case of a general topology of $\hat{\triangle}$, the invariant may be relevant. There are known non-trivial examples of black holes with non-spherical base spaces. For instance, in 5-dimensions there exists asymptotically flat, regular, axi-symmetric solutions (see [15] for details) of the horizon base space topology $S^1 \times S^2$. The space of harmonic 1-forms is finite-dimensional, so the degrees of freedom identified with the harmonic component of the rotation 1-form potential are global in the character.

2. Degrees of freedom

Let ℓ^a, v and n_a be still the same, respectively, vector field, a compatible coordinate and a covector field introduced beneath Theorem VI.3. The covariant derivative D_a is characterized by the elements defined in Section IV B, subject to the constraints (3.36, 3.37). Suppose the vacuum Einstein equations with a (possibly zero) cosmological constant are satisfied on $\triangle$.
The geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) can be completely characterized by the following data:

(i) defined on the space of the null geodesics $\hat{\triangle}$:

- *the projective metric tensor $\hat{q}$ (6.3)*
- *the projective rotation 1-form potential $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A$ (6.15)*
- *the projective transversal expansion-shear data $\hat{S}^{\circ}_{AB}$ (see (6.19) below)*

(ii) the values of the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ and the cosmological constant Λ,

where the projective transversal expansion-shear data $\hat{S}^o_{AB}$ is a tensor defined on $\hat{\triangle}$ by the following form of a general solution of (4.15),

$$\tilde{S}_{AB} \;=\; \begin{cases} \left(\hat{D}_{(A}\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_{B)} + \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_{A}\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_{B} - \frac{1}{2}\overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda\hat{q}_{AB}\right) v + \pi^*\hat{S}^o{}_{AB} & \text{for} \quad \kappa^{(\ell)} = 0 \;, \\ e^{-\kappa^{(\ell)}v}\pi^*\hat{S}^o{}_{AB} + \frac{1}{\kappa^{(\ell)}}\left(\hat{D}_{(A}\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_{B)} + \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_{A}\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_{B} - \frac{1}{2}\overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda\hat{q}_{AB}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{6.19}$$

A part of data depends on the choice of the vector field ℓ^a and the compatible coordinate v. Given ℓ^a such that $\kappa^{(\ell)} \neq 0$, the compatible coordinate v can be fixed up to a constant by requiring that the exact part in the Hodge decomposition of the projective rotation 1-form potential $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_{A}$ vanishes (see Section VI A 1). The vector ℓ^a itself, generically, can be fixed up to a constant factor by requiring that the projective transversal expansion-shear data $\hat{S}^o{}_{AB}$ be traceless. Indeed, the transformations of ℓ^a such that $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ remains a non-zero constant are given by (5.10, 5.15). They are accompanied by the transformations $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} S_{ab} \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}'} S'_{ab}$ determined by (5.11). Contraction of the mentioned equation with the tensor $\tilde{q}^{ab}$ defined as follows

$$\pi_* \tilde{q}^{ab} = \hat{q}^{ab} \;, \qquad\qquad \tilde{q}^{ab} n_b = 0 \;, \tag{6.20}$$

and the assumption that $\tilde{q}^{ab}\mathcal{L}_{\ell'} S_{ab} = 0$ (equivalent to $\hat{q}^{AB}\hat{S}^o{}_{AB} = 0$) produces the following gauge condition defined on the slices:

$$\left[\tilde{D}^2 + 2\tilde{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})A}\tilde{D}_A + \tilde{q}^{AB}(\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}\tilde{S}_{AB} + \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}\tilde{S}_{AB})\right] B \;=\; \tfrac{\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}')}}{\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}} e^{\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}v}\tilde{q}^{AB}\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}\tilde{S}_{AB} \tag{6.21}$$

According to the Zeroth Law and (6.19) the above equation defines at each slice the same constraint for a NEH's geometry, and can be rewritten in terms of the objects defined on the base space $\hat{\triangle}$. Hence, the condition that $\hat{S}_{AB}$ be traceless takes the form of the following elliptic equation on the function B,

$$\left[\hat{D}^2 + 2\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})A}\hat{D}_A + \mathrm{div}\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} + |\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}|^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\hat{q}^{AB}\overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} - \tfrac{n-2}{2}\Lambda\right] B \;=\; \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}')}\hat{q}^{AB}\hat{S}^o{}_{AB} \tag{6.22}$$

where $\mathrm{div}\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} := \hat{D}^A\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{A}$ and $|\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}|^2 := \hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})A}\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{A}$. The equation generically has a unique solution. Finally, the remaining re-scaling freedom by a constant can be removed by fixing the value of the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ arbitrarily (the area of $\triangle$ can be used as a quantity providing the appropriate units).

3. Abstract non-expanding null surface / horizon geometry

Non-expanding null surface geometry can be defined abstractly. Consider an $n-1$-dimensional manifold $\triangle$. Let q_{ab} be a symmetric tensor of the signature $(0, +...+)$. Let D_a be a covariant, torsion free derivative such that

$$D_a q_{bc} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{6.23}$$

A vector ℓ^a tangent to $\triangle$ is called null whenever

$$\ell^a q_{ab} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{6.24}$$

Even though we are not assuming any symmetry, every null vector field ℓ^a is a symmetry of q_{ab}:

Lemma VI.4. *Suppose ℓ^a is a null vector field tangent to $\triangle$; then*

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell q_{ab} \;=\; 0\ . \tag{6.25}$$

Despite of the fact, that Lemma is quite surprising, the proof is not difficult. We leave it to the interested reader.

Given a null vector field ℓ^a, we can repeat the definitions of Section III, and associate to it the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$, and the rotation 1-form potential $\omega^{(\ell)}$. Now, a vacuum Einstein constraint can be defined as an equation on the geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) per analogy with the non-expanding null surface case. To spell it out we need one more definition. Introduce on $\triangle$ a symmetric tensor $\overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}_{ab}$, such that for every $n-2$-subsurface contained in $\triangle$ the pullback of $\overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}_{ab}$ to the subsurface coincides with the Ricci tensor of the induced metric, provided the pullback $\tilde{q}_{AB}$ of q_{ab} is a non-degenerate metric tensor. The vacuum constraint is defined as

$$[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]^b_c \;=\; \left(D_{(a}\omega^{(\ell)}{}_{c)} \;+\; \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a\omega^{(\ell)}{}_c \;-\; \tfrac{1}{2}\Lambda q_{ac}\right) \;-\; \tfrac{1}{2}\overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}_{ac}\ , \tag{6.26}$$

and it involves an arbitrary cosmological constant Λ.

Suppose now, that

$$\triangle \;=\; \hat{\triangle}\times\mathbb{R}\ , \tag{6.27}$$

and the tensor q_{ab} is the product tensor defined naturally by a metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$ defined in $\hat{\triangle}$ and the identically zero tensor defined in $\mathbb{R}$. The analysis of Sections VI A 1 and VI A 2 can be repeated to solutions of the vacuum Einstein constraint (6.26). Again the base space $\hat{\triangle}$ is equipped with the data of in Section VI A 2, that is the projective: metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$, rotation 1-form potential $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}{}_A$, transversal expansion-shear data $\hat{S}^o{}_{AB}$. Completed by the values of the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ and the cosmological constant Λ the data is free, in the sense that every data set defines a single solution (q_{ab}, D_a).

B. Isolated Horizons

Definition VI.5. *An isolated null surface $(\triangle, [\boldsymbol{\ell}])$ such that the surface $\triangle$ is a non-expanding horizon is called an isolated horizon (IH).*

Consider an arbitrary isolated horizon $(\triangle, [\boldsymbol{\ell}])$. A generator $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ of the null symmetry is defined globally on $\triangle$, and it is unique modulo the re-scaling $\boldsymbol{\ell}' = a_0\boldsymbol{\ell}$ by a constant a_0. Therefore, the rotation 1-form potential ω is defined globally on $\triangle$ and in an independent of the choice of $\boldsymbol{\ell}' \in [\boldsymbol{\ell}]$ manner (hence we will drop in this section the suffix $(\boldsymbol{\ell})$ at ω but keep it at the surface gravity). It follows from Section V that for every isolated horizon $(\triangle, [\boldsymbol{\ell}])$ the rotation 1-form potential is Lie dragged by the vector field $\boldsymbol{\ell}$, additionally the energy condition (2.15) is satisfied necessarily and the left hand side of (6.8) is assumed to be zero. In conclusion:

(i) The rotation 1-form potential is Lie-dragged by the horizon symmetry $\boldsymbol{\ell}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}\omega_a \;=\; 0\ , \tag{6.28}$$

(ii) if the matter fields satisfy Stronger Energy Condition III.2 on $\triangle$, then the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}$ is constant,

$$\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \;=\; \text{const} \;. \tag{6.29}$$

(iii) the pullback of the space-time Ricci tensor on $\triangle$ is Lie dragged by $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{ab} \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{6.30}$$

(iv) in the case when Stronger Energy Condition (III.2) holds, the tensor S_{ab} has the following form

$$S_{ab} \;=\; \pi^* \hat{S}_{ab} - 2\omega_{(a} n_{b)} - \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} n_a n_b \;, \tag{6.31}$$

where $\hat{S}_{AB}$ is a symmetric tensor defined on $\hat{\triangle}$;

(v) The constraint (4.15) applied to S_{ab} above reads

$$\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \hat{S}_{AB} \;=\; \hat{D}_{(A}\hat{\omega}_{B)} + \hat{\omega}_A \hat{\omega}_B - \tfrac{1}{2} \overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2} \overset{(n)}{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}_{AB} \;, \tag{6.32}$$

where by $\overset{(n)}{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}_{AB}$ we denoted the tensor uniquely defined on $\hat{\triangle}$ such that $\pi^* \overset{(n)}{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}_{ab} = \overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{ab}$.

The classification of the isolated null surfaces with respect to whether $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}$ vanishes or not applies to the isolated horizons, therefore we call an isolated horizon extremal whenever $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} = 0$, and non-extremal otherwise.

1. *Degrees of freedom: the non-extremal case*

Suppose the vacuum Einstein equations (with a possibly non-zero cosmological constant) hold on an isolated horizon $(\triangle, [\boldsymbol{\ell}])$, and

$$\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \;\neq\; 0 \;. \tag{6.33}$$

Since $\triangle$ is a non-expanding horizon, its geometry can be characterized by the data (i) and (ii) discussed in Section VI A 2. Now, however, the data satisfies an extra constraint following from (5.7), namely

$$\hat{S}^o_{AB} = 0 \tag{6.34}$$

in (6.19). Therefore, in the non-extremal isolated horizon case, given a generator $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ of the flow $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$, the geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) is completely determined by the projective metric and the projective 1-form potential $(\hat{q}_{AB}, \hat{\omega}_A)$ defined on the base manifold $\hat{\triangle}$, provided the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}$ and the cosmological constant are given. The discussion of the gauge degrees of freedom in the data of Section VI A 2 applies, except, that in this case the null flow $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$ is given. The data $\hat{q}_{ab}$ and $\hat{\omega}_A$ is free in the sense of Section VI A 3.

2. Degrees of freedom - the extremal case

In the extremal case, on the other hand, the vacuum isolated horizon constraints (5.7) do not constrain the projective transversal expansion-shear data $\hat{S}^o_{AB}$ at all. On the other hand, the projective metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$ and the projective rotation 1-form potential $\hat{\omega}_A$ necessarily satisfy a constraint

$$\left(\hat{D}_{(A}\hat{\omega}_{B)} + \hat{\omega}_A\hat{\omega}_B - \tfrac{1}{2}\overset{(n-2)}{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} - \tfrac{1}{2}\Lambda\hat{q}_{AB}\right) \;=\; 0\;. \tag{6.35}$$

The general solution to this equation is not known even in the case of 4-dimensional spacetimes, however the number $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ of the equations is in the space of the solutions to the constraints (5.1) equal to the number of the independent variables: $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ for the metric [16] plus $n-2$ for the rotation. Therefore, one can expect, that extremal isolated horizons should be described by the global degrees of freedom.

In the extremal case, as opposed to the non-extremal case, the projective rotation 1-form potential $\hat{\omega}_A$ is uniquely defined on $\hat{\triangle}$, including the exact part. The projective transversal expansion-shear tensor $\hat{S}_{AB}$, on the other hand, is still the choice of the compatible coordinate v dependent. The transformation of projective tensor $\hat{S}_{AB} = \hat{S}^o{}_{AB}$ is

$$\hat{S}_{AB} \;\rightarrow\; \hat{S}_{AB} + \left[\hat{D}_A\hat{D}_B + 2\hat{\omega}_{(A}\hat{D}_{B)}\right] f\;. \tag{6.36}$$

The trace of this equation with respect to $\hat{q}_{AB}$ becomes an elliptic PDE for the function f. Therefore generically there is a possibility to distinguish the coordinate v (and the corresponding family of sections of $\triangle$) by the requirement that the trace of $\hat{S}_{AB}$, as well as the trace of $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ be zero.

Finally, in the sense of Section VI A 3, the degrees of freedom in the space of the extremal isolated horizons, solutions to the constraints (5.1)) are given by the solutions $(\hat{q}_{AB}, \hat{\omega}_A)$ of the constraint (6.35), and the traceless part of the transversal expansion shear tensor $\hat{S}_{AB}$.

C. Non-expanding horizons with 2-dimensional null symmetry group

In four dimensional case (see [4]) there exist non-expanding horizons which admit 2-dimensional group of the null symmetries. In Section V B we investigated the conditions for the existence of more than one null symmetry of an isolated null surface in arbitrary dimension. In this section we will investigate further the geometries of the isolated horizons admitting more than one null symmetry. We will show the following theorem:

Theorem VI.6. *Suppose $\triangle$ is a non-expanding horizon which admits two distinct isolated horizon structures. Suppose the energy condition* (III.2) *holds on $\triangle$. Then, $\triangle$ admits an extremal isolated horizon structure $[\boldsymbol{\ell}']$ and a compatible coordinate v' such that the corresponding transversal expansion-shear tensor $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ identically vanishes at $\triangle$.*

Proof. Let $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$ and $[\boldsymbol{\ell}']$ be two different isolated horizon structures at $\triangle$ generated by $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell}'$ respectively. According to the Zeroth Law, the surface gravities $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}$ and $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}')}$ are constant on the horizon. Suppose

$$\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \;\neq\; 0\;. \tag{6.37}$$

Let $v : \triangle \to \mathbb{R}$ be a compatible coordinate. The relation between $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell}'$ is

$$\boldsymbol{\ell}' \;=\; f\boldsymbol{\ell}\;. \tag{6.38}$$

where the function f is of the form:

$$f \;=\; \begin{cases} Be^{-\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}v} + \frac{\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}')}}{\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}} & \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \neq 0 \\ \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}')}v - B & \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{6.39}$$

where the function B is constant along the null curves in $\triangle$, and the necessary and sufficient condition (5.17) for the function B thought of as a function $B : \hat{\triangle} \to \mathbb{R}$, can be rewritten in terms of the data defined on the base manifold $\hat{\triangle}$ of the null curves:

$$\Big[\hat{D}_A\hat{D}_B + 2\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{(A}\hat{D}_{B)} + \hat{D}_{(A}\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{B)} + \hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_A\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_B - \tfrac{1}{2}\,{}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,{}^{(n)}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB}\Big]B \;=\; 0\;. \tag{6.40}$$

where $\hat{D}_{(A}\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{B)}$ should be considered as a tensor not an operator.

Note, however, the function B is independent of the surface gravity of another vector field we construct with, therefore, either $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}'')} = 0$, or, given the functions f'' and B, we can define a new function f',

$$f' \;:=\; Be^{-\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}v}\;. \tag{6.41}$$

Then, the vector field $\boldsymbol{\ell}' = f'\boldsymbol{\ell}$ defines an extremal isolated horizon. We will show now, that there is a coordinate $v' : \triangle \to \mathbb{R}$ compatible with $\boldsymbol{\ell}'$ such that the corresponding projective transversal expansion-shear data $\hat{S}'^{o}_{AB}$ is identically zero. According to the equation (6.41) the general form of a coordinate v' compatible with $\boldsymbol{\ell}'$ is

$$v' \;=\; (\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}B)^{-1}(e^{\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}v} - 1) + v'_0 \tag{6.42}$$

where v'_0 is a function constant along the null curves in $\triangle$. Let us choose v'_0 to be

$$v'_0 \;:=\; (\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}B)^{-1}\;. \tag{6.43}$$

Then the correspondence between the vector fields $n'_a = -D_a v'$ and $n_a = -D_a v$ can be described by the following equation:

$$n'_a \;=\; v'(\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}n_a - D_a \ln B)\;. \tag{6.44}$$

The covariant derivative of the above equation determines the transformation $S_{ab} \mapsto S'_{ab}$:

$$\frac{1}{v'}S'_{ab} - \frac{1}{v'^2}n'_a n'_b \;=\; S_{ab} - D_a D_b \ln B\;. \tag{6.45}$$

Taking the lie derivative of this formula with respect to $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ and taking into account that $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}'}S'_{ab} = \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}S_{ab} = 0$ we get the following result:

$$S'_{ab} \;=\; \omega^{(\boldsymbol{\ell}')}{}_{(a}n'_{b)}\;. \tag{6.46}$$

Therefore the pullback $\tilde{S}'_{AB}$ of S'_{ab} identically vanishes, and so does the projective part $\hat{S}'_{AB}$ defined by (6.19). □

In the case when both the symmetries $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell}'$ admits extremal isolated horizon structures the equation (6.40) takes the following form:

$$\left[\hat{D}_A\hat{D}_B + 2\hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}{}_{(A}\hat{D}_{B)}\right] B \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{6.47}$$

Together with the constraint (6.35) the equation above forms an overdefined system involving the data $\hat{q}, \hat{\omega}^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}$. The non-existence of the solutions to the system in the case of the horizon embedded in an 4-dimensional electrovac spacetime with vanishing cosmological constant was shown in [4, 14], however one can not expect to repeat this result in the general case. It seams that the answer for the question whether the solutions to the system (6.35,6.47) do exist require an analysis for each case of the assumed dimension and the topology of a horizon base space separately.

VII. CONCLUSION

It turns out that the basic properties of null, non-expanding surfaces are not sensitive on the space-time dimension. We have discussed only those properties which were found relevant in the 4 dimensional case. The exception is the characterization of the surfaces admitting a 2-dimensional group of null symmetries and the relation with the extremal isolated surface constraint.

A new element in the characterization of the non-expanding, null surfaces is is the harmonic 1-form invariant defined by the rotation 1-form potential on the space of the null generators of the surface.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Abhay Ashtekar, Badri Krishnan, Piotr Chrusciel, Jose Jaramillo, Jacek Jezierski and Vojtech Pravda for discussions. This work was supported in part by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) under grants no: 2 P03B 127 24, 2 P03B 130 24, the National Science Foundation under grant 0090091, and the Albert Einstein Institute of the Max Planck Society.

APPENDIX A: REMARKS ON THE EXOTIC MATTER CASE

In the development of the objects describing the geometrical structure of the non-expanding and isolated horizons there was assumed, that the energy condition III.2 holds for the matter fields on the horizon. From the other hand one may need to deal with the models in which considered condition has to be dropped. Then the question arises: how many of the structures developed here still apply ? The current section is an attempt to answer this question.

1. Non-expanding horizons

In this paper the energy condition (III.2) was in fact used to develop the identity (3.21) only. As the mentioned identity is equivalent to the following condition

$$T_{ab}\ell^b = 0 \;, \tag{A1}$$

involving the pull-back of $T_{\mu\nu}$ onto the horizon, even if the condition III.2 is not fulfilled, all the statements still apply as long as the equation (A1) holds. As a 'toy'[6] example of the matter field satisfying (A1) we can consider the cosmological constant represented as a matter field $T_{\mu\nu} = -\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$. For negative Λ the Stronger Energy Condition III.2 doesn't hold any longer ($-T^{\nu}{}_{\nu}\ell^{\nu}$ is past-oriented) but the equality (A1) is still true.

Consider now the most general situation when nothing about the energy-momentum tensor is assumed. Then the statements of the Theorem VI.2 are no longer true: The shear of the NEH doesn't have to vanish because the $|\sigma^{(\ell)}|^2$ term in the Raychaudhuri equation can be balanced by the negative $\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\ell\ell}$. The presence of shear affects entire geometrical structure. For example only the area form of the metric tensor $\bar{q}_{AB}$ is preserved by the null flow

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell}\bar{\epsilon} \;=\; 0\;. \tag{A2}$$

Moreover the spacetime covariant derivative does not preserve the tangent bundle of the horizon. Therefore the internal connection D of the horizon must be introduced the other way than (3.11) and none of the statements in Section VI A will hold.

One of methods to deal with the problem is to restrict investigated objects to the *non-expanding shear-free horizons* defined as the null surfaces equipped with a metric q preserved by the null flow. The restriction makes sense as the NEH's admitting the isolated horizon structure (which includes also Killing horizons) necessarily have to belong to this class. Note that for that class of the horizons the condition (2.15) is satisfied due to Raychaudhuri equation so the discussion in the main body of the paper apply here.

The other restriction we can make is to impose the weaker energy condition (2.15) only. That case has been investigated thorough the main part of the paper: The statements of the Theorem VI.2 are true for the considered NEH, whether the ones for the Theorem VI.3 are not. Note that however the horizon can be no longer of the type II in principal classification, the component $\overset{(n)}{C}_{a\ell b\ell}$ still vanishes, so the horizon is at least of the type I (remaining algebraically special).

2. Isolated horizons

If we assume that the horizon admits an isolated horizon structure its shear and Ricci component $\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\ell\ell}$ vanish due to existence of a null symmetry without any assumption imposed on the energy-momentum tensor of the matter fields.

Because of the modification of the Zeroth Law the statement $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} = \text{const}$ must be replaced by the following one:

$$\partial_a \kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \;=\; -\overset{(n)}{\mathcal{R}}_{ab}\boldsymbol{\ell}^b\;, \tag{A3}$$

[6] This particular case is already exhibited in this paper as the Einstein equation with cosmological constant was considered. We use it only to illustrate existence of possible models for which (A1) holds and Condition III.2 doesn't. The real applications would be the 'varying cosmological constant' models.

so the 'surface gravity' defined as $\boldsymbol{\ell}^a\omega_a$ becomes a function constant along the null generators

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \;=\; 0\;. \tag{A4}$$

Now without any additional energy assumptions the division on the non-extremal and extremal IH structures is no longer valid as the structures with $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}=0$ at some open subset of $\tilde{\triangle}$ and $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}\neq 0$ elsewhere are possible. Therefore the structure of the constraint (6.32) (so the structure of the local degrees of freedom) can be different at distinct open subsets of the horizon base space.

The problem of classification (and description of the degrees of freedom) can be dealt of by imposing other assumptions which are satisfied by some class of an exotic matter fields.

As the energy-momentum tensor of the matter fields is necessarily divergence-free the following constraint is true on the horizon

$$\boldsymbol{\ell}^\mu T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\nu} \;=\; 0\;. \tag{A5}$$

Using the identity (6.30) we can after simple calculations express the condition above as an $n-2$ dimensional differential equation defined on the slices of the horizon foliation preserved by a flow $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$:

$$0 \;=\; \tilde{\Delta}\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} - \tilde{q}^{AB}\tilde{\omega}_B\tilde{D}_A\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} - {}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{n\boldsymbol{\ell}}\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} + \mathcal{L}_n\,{}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}\boldsymbol{\ell}}\;, \tag{A6}$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the Laplace operator, and n^μ is a null vector field orthogonal to the slices. The only part inhomogeneous in $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}$ is a transversal derivative of the Ricci tensor component $\mathcal{L}_n\,{}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}\boldsymbol{\ell}}|_{\tilde{\triangle}}$. If it vanishes on the horizon

$$\mathcal{L}_n\,{}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}\boldsymbol{\ell}}|_{\triangle} \;=\; 0\;, \tag{A7}$$

then according to the vanishing of $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}\tilde{q}_{AB}$ and (A4) the equation can be rewritten as a PDE defined on the base space:

$$0 \;=\; \hat{\Delta}\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} - \hat{q}^{AB}\hat{\omega}_B\hat{D}_A\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})} - {}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{n\boldsymbol{\ell}}\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}\;. \tag{A8}$$

The equation is now an homogeneous elliptic PDE defined on a compact manifold. Therefore if $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}$ vanishes on some open subset of $\hat{\triangle}$ then must vanish on the entire horizon. Hence the following is true:

Corollary A.1. *Given an isolated horizon $\triangle$ equipped with a symmetry $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ and embedded in a spacetime satisfying the Einstein field equations. Assume that*

$$\mathcal{L}_n T_{\boldsymbol{\ell}\boldsymbol{\ell}}|_{\tilde{\triangle}} \;=\; 0\;, \tag{A9}$$

for some null vector field n transversal to the leaves of the horizon foliation preserved by the flow $[\boldsymbol{\ell}]$. Then the horizon must belong to one of the following classes:

(i) Extremal isolated horizons: surface gravity vanishes everywhere

(ii) Non-extremal ones: $\kappa^{(\boldsymbol{\ell})}\neq 0$ on a dense subset of $\triangle$.

The assumed condition is equivalent to (A7). When it is satisfied by the matter fields the partition defined in Corollary A.1 can be used instead of the partition proposed in Section VI B. The structure of the constraint (6.32) remains then global.

---

[1] Pejerski D.W, Newman E.T. 1971 Trapped surface and the development of singularities, *J.Math.Phys.* **9** 1929-1937

[2] Ashtekar A, Beetle C, Fairhurst S 1999 Isolated Horizons: A Generalization of Black Hole Mechanics *Class.Quant.Grav.* **16** L1-L7

[3] Ashtekar A, Beetle C, Dreyer O, Fairhurst S, Krishnan B, Lewandowski J, Wiśniewski J 2000 Generic Isolated Horizons and their Applications *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **85** 3564-3567

[4] Ashtekar A, Beetle C, Lewandowski J 2002 Geometry of Generic Isolated Horizon *Class.Quant.Grav.* **19** 1195-1225

[5] Ashtekar A, Beetle C, Lewandowski J 2001 Mechanics of Rotating Isolated Horizons *Phys.Rev.* **D64** 044016

[6] Lewandowski J 2000, Space-Times Admitting Isolated Horizons *Class.Quant.Grav.* **17** L53-L59

[7] Ashtekar A, Engle J, Pawlowski T, Van Den Broeck C 2004 Multipole Moments of Isolated Horizons *Class.Quant.Grav.* **21** 2549-2570

[8] Wald R 1993 Black Hole Entropy is Noether Charge *Phys.Rev.* **D48** 3427-3431; (*preprint:* gr-qc/9307038)

[9] Korzyński M, Lewandowski J, Pawlowski T 2004 Mechanics of multidimensional isolated horizons, *in prep.*

[10] Ashtekar A, Dreyer O, Wiśniewski J 2002 Isolated Horizons in 2+1 Gravity *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **6** 507-555

[11] R. Wald *General Relativity* The University of Chicago Press 1984;

[12] Coley A, Milson R, Pravda V, Pravdova A 2004 Classification of the Weyl tensor in higher dimensions *Class.Quant.Grav.* **21** L35-L42

[13] Kramer D, Stephani H, MacCallum M, Herlt E, *Exact Solutions of the Einsteins Field Equations*, second edition, Cambridge University Press, 2003

[14] Lewandowski J, Pawlowski T 2003 Extremal Isolated Horizons: A Local Uniqueness Theorem *Class.Quant.Grav.* **20** 587-606

[15] Emparan R, Reall S 2002 A rotating black ring in five dimensions *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **88** 101101

[16] Llosa J, Soler D 2004 On the degrees of freedom of a semi-Riemannian metric (*preprint:* gr-qc/0406111)

[17] Ashtekar A, Baez J, Corichi A, Krasnov K 1998 Quantum Geometry and Black Hole Entropy *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **80** 904-907

[18] Pawlowski T, Lewandowski J, Jezierski J 2004 Spacetimes foliated by Killing horizons *Class.Quant.Grav.* **21** 1237-1252

[19] Lewandowski J, Pawlowski T 2002 Geometric Characterizations of the Kerr Isolated Horizon *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **D11** 739-746

[20] Ashtekar A, Krishnan B 2004 Isolated and dynamical horizons and their applications (*preprint* gr-qc/0407042)

[21] Czuchry E, Jezierski J, Kijowski J 2003 Local approach to thermodynamics of black

holes *Proceedings of the Seventh Hungarian Relativity Workshop, Relativity Today* Ed. I. Racz, (Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 2004)

[22] Jezierski J 2004 Geometry of null hypersurfaces Authors: Jacek Jezierski *Relativity Today, Proc. 7th Hungarian Relativity Workshop* Ed. I. Racz, (Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 2004)

[23] Rogatko M 2003 Uniqueness theorem of static degenerate and non-degenerate charged black holes in higher dimensions *Phys.Rev.* **D67** 084025

[24] Nakahara M *Geometry, Topology and Physics*, second edition, IOP Publishing, 2003